



Purchasing Department
530 Water Street
Oakland, CA 94607

January 22, 2024

ADDENDUM No. 2

RFP No.: 23-24/16, Sea Level Rise and Groundwater Intrusion Modelling, Vulnerability Assessment, and Adaptation Plan

This Addendum modifies the original RFP Documents for the above-mentioned RFP. **Acknowledge receipt of this addendum in the space provided on the RFP Acknowledgement and Signature Form (Attachment 3). Failure to do so may disqualify your proposal.**

The following questions were submitted by the deadline and are answered in this addendum.

1. **Question:** Can you provide more information on who from the Port will participate in the evaluation of submitted proposals?

Answer: The Port evaluation team will include two engineers (one for aviation and one for maritime), two planners (one from EPP and one from Aviation), and our GIS specialist. Other staff may be part of the process as well.

2. How will Proposed Costs be evaluated and scored?

Answer: Proposed Costs will be evaluated in terms of how much value is provided for the proposed work output. Since no set value is provided, it is important for the consultants to clearly explain what they will be providing (deliverables).

3. **Question:** For Item 3 (Client References), will the Port consider allowing for references for projects completed within a recent timeframe (for example, within the last 1 to 3 years) as opposed to a “current” client? This would allow for references who could attest to a firm’s performance on a completed project as opposed to work that is in progress.

Answer: Yes. The client references are at the discretion of the consultants.

4. **Question:** Why has the small and local business scoring criteria been removed from the RFP? Is this no longer an evaluation criteria?

Answer: This solicitation is partially federally funded and requires the use of federal contracting provisions to prevail over the local business policy.

5. **Question:** If the geographic expansion of the scope into adjacent areas is considered an “optional task”, should the incremental cost to include those areas be separated out or combined into the overall modeling tasks?

Answer: Please separate out the costs for the optional tasks.

6. **Question:** Might there be a list of primes to contact to start discussions before the non-mandatory pre-proposal meeting.

Answer: The Port cannot identify potential primes for this project, but a list of interested parties that downloaded the RFP file is posted on the Port website including the Sign-In for Non-Mandatory Pre-Proposal meeting that was held on 01/11/2023.

<https://www.portoakland.com/business/bids-rfps/>

7. **Question:** Will you be sharing the sign-in sheet after the meeting?

Answer: Yes, this is posted on the Port website:

<https://www.portoakland.com/business/bids-rfps/> under the project name.

8. **Question:** Will presentation slides and attendee list be shared with participants.

Answer: Yes, attendees list is posted on the Port website and SRD slides are included in this addendum.

9. **Question:** Who were the proposers the last round?

Answer: The last RFP was cancelled so the Port prefers not to share this information. Also see most recent Public Record Request 24-1 dated 01/04/2024 for details.

10. **Question:** On page 6, the RFP states “no additional geotechnical work will be performed as part of this study.” Should we take this to mean that the Port believes they have all of the data necessary to perform the modeling outlined in the scope, or may other non-geotechnical data collection be proposed as a part of this submittal? Page 3 lists borings, CPTs, and lab analysis as the geotechnical data previously collected by the Port. Outside of these categories, may we propose some other data collection to support out modeling?

Answer: Yes. Other work can be suggested as optional tasks. The costs for these optional tasks should be separated from the rest of the scope.

There are no other questions to RFP No. 23-24/16.



PORT OF OAKLAND

Sea Level Rise and Groundwater Intrusion Modelling, Vulnerability Assessment, and Adaptation Plan

January 11, 2024 at 2:30 p.m. (Pacific Time)

Zoom Meeting: <https://portoakland.zoom.us>

Meeting ID: 914 1878 7452 Passcode: 509945

Small Business Goal



Portions of this work may be federally funded

The Port of Oakland overall DBE goal of
12.42% (race neutral)

The Port has established a contract specific
11.7% small business goal for this RFP

11.7% Contract Specific Goal

To meet the small business goal, the prime and or its listed subcontractor(s) must:

- (1) meet the U.S. Small Business Administration size standard **(verification on next slide)**; or
- (2) be certified as a SBE by the California Department of General Services as small for their listed NAICS scope; or
- (3) be certified as a DBE by the California Unified Certification Program

Small Business Verification

The U.S. Small Business Administration size standard can be met by:

- Noncertified firm
- 3-year average gross receipts for their listed North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code scope (federal taxes)
- **Prime Proposers must submit firms for verification to Port of Oakland Contract Compliance 5 business days prior to the Proposal due date**

Living Wage



- **Hourly Rate (July 1 annual adjustment)**
 - Port Ordinance No. 3666
 - \$19.44 **without** health benefits
 - \$16.93 **with** health benefits
- **Compensated Time Off**
 - At least 12 per year, including holidays
- **Employee Notification**
 - **Directly** in writing **AND posted** in communal areas (from SRD: Social Responsibility Division)
 - In English, Spanish and majority languages
- **Elation Systems Payroll Reports**
 - Name, address, date of hire, occupation classification, rate of pay, benefits paid
 - Quarterly (March 31, June 30, September 30, and December 31)

Questions?



Kamal Hubbard

Contract Compliance Officer
Social Responsibility Division

khubbard@portoakland.com

(510) 627-1162