

# Summary of Key Findings: Stakeholder Assessment

2018 MAQIP Update Task Force - Meeting #1

February 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2018

Port of Oakland

Scott McCreary, Principal

Meredith Cowart, Associate

CONCUR, Inc

# Task Force Updates and Outreach

- Original Task Force membership updated to reflect organizational and staffing changes
  - 34 Task Force members including 4 Co-Chairs
- Outreach to Task Force members and Co-Chairs initiated in mid-Dec, 2017
- Conducted stakeholder interviews mid-Dec through end of Jan, 2018
- 26 individuals representing 19 organizations; Strong cross section of stakeholder community

# Purpose of Assessment

- Provide insights into the background and interests of the broad range of representatives
- Identify stakeholder perceptions regarding the relative success of the MAQIP to date
- Identify potential areas of agreement or concern
- Identify information needs in the 2018 MAQIP Update
- Invite suggestions for additional organizational participation
- Identify process design recommendations (including the appropriateness of a consensus-seeking decision rule, among others)
- Confirm stakeholder willingness to participate in the MAQIP update, and, specifically, to attend the planned meetings

# Findings #1-3

- 1. Awareness of substantial reduction in DPM levels around the Port**
- 2. Broad support for the 2018 MAQIP Update goals**
- 3. Strong preference for a succinct Year 2018 MAQIP Update and a more extensive “beyond 2020” process**

## *Recommendations:*

- Conduct 2018 Update in a concise 2-meeting process
- Devise a robust process to address “beyond 2020” GHG emissions reductions goals

# Finding #4 and Recommendations

## 4. Need for further clarity on the 2018 MAQIP Update goals and the Port's desired Task Force input and contributions

### *Recommendations:*

- **2018 MAQIP Update:** At Mtg #1, clearly explain the 2018 MAQIP Update goals and that the Port's desired Task Force input will be: feedback on a proposed menu of emissions reductions measures and a show of support based on a straw poll.
- **"Beyond 2020":** As process begins, provide the same level of clarity on process goals and desired Task Force input

# Finding #5 & 6 and Recommendations

5. **Broad support for the original MAQIP ground rules and Co-Chair structure for the 2018 update**
6. **Strong support for a consensus-seeking decision rule, with a potential “super majority” as a fallback**

## *Recommendations:*

- **2018 MAQIP Update:** Rely on established ground rules and Co-Chair structure. Adopt “striving for consensus” with a potential “super majority” fallback as decision rule
- 
- **“Beyond 2020”:** Consider revisiting ground rules and Co-Chair structure

# Finding #7 and Recommendation

## **7. Support for reconvening the Interagency Working Group**

### ***Recommendation:***

- Initiate planning now; reconvene IAWG at the start of the “beyond 2020” process

# Finding #8 and Recommendations

## 8. Relevance of AB617 to the MAQIP

### *Recommendations:*

- Arrange AB617 briefing during 2018 MAQIP Update (Meeting #2)
- Use early meetings to explore the relationship of AB617 to the MAQIP
- Consider forming a work group to coordinate efforts between AB617 and the ongoing Port efforts to reduce GHG emissions

# Finding #9 and Recommendation

## **9. Several specific suggestions for informational presentations:**

- Progress on emissions reductions and magnitude of investment
- Potential measures to achieve 85% DPM reductions
- Health outcomes
- Port's economic activity and competitiveness
- Localized air quality monitoring efforts

### ***Recommendations:***

- Provide short technical briefings on the information needs identified that are relevant to the 2018 MAQIP Update during Meeting #1 of the Update.
- Provide short technical briefings on the information needs identified that are relevant to the “Beyond 2020” process at the outset of the “Beyond 2020” process.

# Finding #10 and Recommendations

## 10. Several representation suggestions made

### *Recommendations:*

- **2018 MAQIP Update:** Maintain original MAQIP Task Force representative organizations, with any necessary updates due to organizational or staff turnover.
- **“Beyond 2020”:** Expand Task Force representation to include a broader array of stakeholders. Continue to solicit Task Force member recommendations from Task Force members, members of the public, and the Port. In some cases, it may be more appropriate for the organizations identified by stakeholders to participate in the process as technical advisors, or as members of the public.

# Summing Up Key Findings

1. Awareness of substantial reduction in DPM levels around the Port
2. Broad support for the 2018 MAQIP Update goals
3. **Strong preference for a succinct Year 2018 MAQIP Update and a more extensive “beyond 2020” process**
4. Need for further clarity on the 2018 MAQIP Update goals and the Port’s desired Task Force input and contributions
5. **Broad support for the original MAQIP ground rules and Co-Chair structure for the 2018 update**
6. **Strong support for a consensus-seeking decision rule, with a potential “super majority” as a fallback**
7. Support for reconvening the Interagency Working Group
8. Relevance of AB617 to the MAQIP
9. **Several specific suggestions for informational presentations**
10. Several representation suggestions made