The Port of Oakland (Port) Permits Section staff and Environmental Programs and Planning staff have reviewed the subject Port Development Permit Application. We find the proposal meets the applicable standards of the “Port of Oakland Land Use and Development Code (LUDC) for the Oakland Airport Business Park”. Detailed analysis and findings are set forth below.

**Port Permit No:** 5300

**Permit Applicant:** Adam Sanchez, Marvin Collins Construction

**Tenant:** multiple tenants leasing the property

**Property Owner:** Northern CA Carpenters PTF, LLC

**Project Location:** 265 Hegenberger, Oakland

**Environ. Assessment:** Categorically Exempt

**Project Description**

The project site is in the southwestern portion of the City of Oakland (City), in the vicinity of Oakland International Airport and Interstate 880 (I-880). The address of the proposed project is 265 Hegenberger Road, Oakland, CA, adjacent to the San Leandro Channel. The site is developed with a two-story office building. The main entrance faces San Leandro Channel across the main parking area. The building has parking on three sides. The back side faces the new SpringHill Suites Hotel located at 195 Hegenberger Road, Oakland, CA.

The Applicant proposes modifications to the parking lot for American with Disability Act (ADA) compliance of parking and enhanced security. ADA work includes new striping, pavement modifications, and detectable warnings. Security work will include a 4-foot high iron fence with gates at vehicle access points to secure the parking area, including electric motors and card readers. The project also includes installation of electric vehicle charging stations.

**Surrounding Area**

The project site is located within the Oakland Airport Business Park, Commercial Corridor sub-area. This sub-area is largely occupied commercial, hotel, and office uses. The project site is also within the Coliseum/Hegenberger Planning District of the Coliseum Area Redevelopment Project Area established by the City of Oakland in 1995,
and expanded to a total of 6,764 acres in 1997. The site is on a shared parcel with Harley Davidson Sales (located at 151 Hegenberger Road, Oakland, CA) and SpringHill Suites Hotel, as noted above. They have a shared driveway from Hegenberger Road that connects to Pardee Drive.

**Land Use Analysis (from LUDC Section 2)**

The project site is located within the “Port Area” of the City of Oakland, and within the Commercial Corridor area of the Oakland Airport Business Park, originally established by Port Ordinance No.1343 by the Board of Port Commissioners in 1966. Development in the Oakland Airport Business Park is currently regulated by the “Port of Oakland Land Use and Development Code (LUDC)”, adopted by the Board of Port Commissioners on June 2011. The use of the site for professional and administrative offices is in compliance with the standards. The work does not change the existing compliant use.

**General Plan Conformity**

By approval of this permit, the Port makes a determination that the project conforms to the City of Oakland General Plan, including the Land Use and Transportation Element and the Coliseum Area Specific Plan. The LUDC has been found to be in conformance with these plans. As an existing compliant use, the confirmed conformity determination is not required to be reviewed by the City of Oakland.

**Findings (from LUDC Section 5.5)**

The Port approves this application for a Development Permit, as submitted, with the following findings:

1. The proposed project will not be detrimental to the health, safety, peace, morals, comfort or general welfare of persons working, visiting, transiting through the Oakland Airport Business Park or be detrimental or injurious to property and improvements of the adjacent properties, the surrounding area or neighborhood or to the general welfare of the City of Oakland.
2. The proposed project is consistent with the City of Oakland’s General Plan.
3. The proposed project complies with provisions of the LUDC.
4. The proposed project has been adequately evaluated under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), see below for further details.
5. The proposed project has been approved, or has a reasonable chance of being approved, by all outside agencies having jurisdiction over the project, including, but not limited to: the City of Oakland, Bay Conservation and Development Commission, Federal Aviation Administration, San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board, Alameda County Airport Land Use Commission, Alameda County Public Health Department, and the California State Lands Commission.
6. The proposed project will neither interfere with the operations of Oakland International Airport nor enable the establishment of uses that may be incompatible with the potential imposition of noise, light, smoke, air currents,
electronic or other emissions, vibrations, discomfort, and/or inconvenience resulting from airport operations at Oakland International Airport.

7. The Port has attached such conditions to issuance of the Development Permit as it deems reasonable or necessary to achieve the purposes of the LUDC, to reduce or to mitigate environmental impacts, and to address the project’s anticipated burden on the Oakland Airport Business Park and the Port, and which conditions otherwise promote the health, safety, and welfare of the surrounding community.

8. The project is consistent with the purposes, use restrictions and development and design standards established by this Code for the Commercial Corridor area.

**Environmental Findings**

CEQA: The Port is the Lead Agency under CEQA, having land use jurisdiction over the Oakland Airport Business Park. The project boundaries are located in a developed area, and improvement will be made to an existing facility with no change of use. The Port finds that this project is categorically exempt pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15301, minor alterations of existing facilities.

SUSTAINABILITY: The applicant will apply sustainability measures as possible for the project, including compliance with the CA Green Building Code and the Oakland Construction Debris Recycling Ordinance. The Project includes installation of EV charging stations for employees with electric vehicles.

**Permit Conditions**

1. All conditions of approval shall be printed on the final permit set of plans.

2. The project shall conform to Port-stamped approved plans, including the conditions of approval, unless otherwise approved by the Port. The applicant is responsible for including all details agreed upon, or conditions made by the Port, during the approval process. Failure to include any such details will not exempt the applicant from the Port for any changes prior to construction.

3. The tenant must maintain a City of Oakland Business License as required under the Municipal Code.

4. The applicant shall meet all conditions and requirements of all outside agencies having jurisdiction over the project, including but not limited to: the City of Oakland, San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC), Federal Aviation Administration, San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board, Alameda County Airport Land Use Commission, Alameda County Public Health Department, and the California State Lands Commission. The applicant shall report any conflicts with Port requirements to the Port prior to construction. Any such conflicts must be resolved by the applicant to the satisfaction of the Port and the outside agency involved.

5. The applicant shall provide copies of authorizations or permits from agencies noted above, where applicable.
6. The Project Applicant shall obtain, at the Project Applicant’s expense, all City of Oakland building permits required for the Project.

7. The Project Applicant and the Project Applicant’s contractor shall construct the Project according to the plans approved under Port and City permits. Modifications made to comply with City of Oakland permit requirements shall be subject to verification of compliance with Port permit conditions prior to the start of construction.

8. The Project Applicant shall maintain the Project site in a clean and orderly condition during the entire term of the Development Permit. If there is a work stoppage at any time after construction has commenced for a period of more than 30 days, the Project Applicant shall secure any completed or uncompleted work and remove or screen any stored materials. The Chief Engineer of the Port may provide a 30-day notice and order to the Project Applicant to comply with this condition. If the Project Applicant fails to comply during that time period, or fails to present and implement an acceptable plan for compliance, the Port maintains the right at Port’s discretion, to complete the necessary work and bill the Project Applicant for all related costs, or impose reasonable fines for violation of this condition of approval and/or the Port Chief Engineer’s order. Any such fine shall be reasonably related to the nature of the violation and/or the cost to correct.

9. To the maximum extent permitted by law, the Project Applicant shall defend, hold harmless, and indemnify the Port and its respective officers, agents and employees (whether the action is on behalf of the Port, or otherwise) (the “Indemnified Parties”) against any and all liability, damages, claims, demands, judgments or other losses (including, without limitation, attorneys fees, expert witness and consultant fees and other litigation expenses), referendum or initiative relating to, resulting from or caused by, or alleged to have resulted from, or caused by, any action or approval associated with the Project. This indemnity includes, without limitation, any legal or administrative challenge, referendum or initiative filed or prosecuted to overturn, set aside, stay or otherwise rescind any or all approvals granted in connection with the Project, any environmental determination made for the Project, and granting any permit issued in accordance with the Project. This indemnity includes, without limitation, payment of all direct and indirect costs associated with any action specified herein. Direct and indirect costs as used herein shall include, without limitation, any attorney’s fees, expert witness and consultant fees, court costs and other litigation fees, Port Attorney time and overhead costs, and other Port Staff overhead costs and normal day-to-day business expenses incurred by the Port (“Litigation Expenses”). The Indemnified Parties shall have the right to select counsel to represent the Indemnified Parties, at the Project Applicant’s expense, in the defense of any action specified in this condition of approval. The Indemnified Parties shall take all reasonable steps to promptly notify the Project Applicant of any claim, demand, or legal actions that may create a claim for indemnification under these conditions of approval.
10. The Applicant shall agree to comply with any other conditions added by the Permit Coordinator as well as any other conditions listed in the LUDC not otherwise listed here.

RECOMMENDED:

Environmental Programs and Planning: Colleen Liang

Date: _____________

Colleen Liang, Port Environmental Supervisor

DECISION:

Port Permit Coordinator: X Approve ___ Deny

Date: 6/8/2020

Joe Marsh, Port Permit Coordinator

Attachment – Project Plans and Details (11 sheets)