

Port of Oakland Maritime Air Quality Improvement Plan

MAQIP Task Force Meeting

December 14, 2007
9:30 AM - 3:00 PM

CONCUR, Inc. (Dec. 14, 2007)

Port of Oakland Maritime Air Quality Improvement Plan

Primary Meeting Objectives

1. Response to questions posted at Nov. 5th meeting (truck apportionment)
2. Receive presentation from Beneficial Cargo Owners
3. Present and discuss proposed emissions reduction goals for the MAQIP
4. Present, review, and discuss revised Implementation, Monitoring, Reporting program
5. Present, discuss and adopt results from Work Team screening and deliberation
6. Present and discuss results from Inter-agency meeting

CONCUR, Inc. (Dec. 14, 2007)

2

Port of Oakland Maritime Air Quality Improvement Plan

Agenda: Dec 14, 2007

MAQIP Task Force Meeting

- 9:30 Welcome and Agenda review

- 9:40 Follow-up from 11/5 Task Force meeting
 - CARB follow-up response to questions (truck apportionment)
 - Presentation from Beneficial Cargo Owner (JC Penney)

- 10:10 Proposed Emissions Reductions Goals for MAQIP (Port)

- 11:10 Revised Implementation, Monitoring & Reporting Plan (Port)

- 12:15 Comments from members of the public and observers

CONCUR, Inc. (Dec. 14, 2007)

3

Port of Oakland Maritime Air Quality Improvement Plan

Agenda: Dec 14, 2007

MAQIP Task Force Meeting

- 12:30 Lunch

- 12:45 Review, Discussion and Adoption of Work Team Results (CONCUR & Work Team)

- 1:45 Presentations from Interagency meeting (Jean Roggenkamp)

- 2:35 Overview of Next Steps between December and February

- 2:45 Comments from members of the public and observers

- 3:00 Adjourn

CONCUR, Inc. (Dec. 14, 2007)

4

Port of Oakland Maritime Air Quality Improvement Plan

Beneficial Cargo Owner Presentation (JC Penney)

CONCUR, Inc. (Dec. 14, 2007)

Port of Oakland Maritime Air Quality Improvement Plan

Guiding Questions for Beneficial Cargo Owner Presentation

1. What does JCPenney or a BCO consider when it negotiates with different Ports? What would be the potential effects of a small - or not so small -container charge?
2. What are the BCO's thoughts/preference for how to institute the fees or other mechanisms that pay for the air quality improvement over time...if/ how should cost be 'internalized' if you will buy the supply chain?
3. Are there any win-win type of scenarios, or positive actions that the BCOs would support the Port and/or its business partners taking, which would not only improve air quality but might also improve service to you or cause you to use them more frequently (i.e. faster receipt of shipments or some type of corporate recognition for supporting the use of cleaner, healthier technologies)

CONCUR, Inc. (Dec. 14, 2007)

6

Port of Oakland Maritime Air Quality Improvement Plan

Beneficial Cargo Owners: Follow-up Q & A from the Task Force

CONCUR, Inc. (Dec. 14, 2007)

Port of Oakland Maritime Air Quality Improvement Plan

Public Comments

- **Comments encouraged on today's substantive agenda topics**
- **Comments also invited on other aspects of Port air quality planning**
- **Please provide brief comments to allow expression of multiple viewpoints**

CONCUR, Inc. (Dec. 14, 2007)

8

Port of Oakland Maritime Air Quality Improvement Plan

LUNCH

12:30 PM - 12:45 PM

CONCUR, Inc. (Dec. 14, 2007) 9

Port of Oakland Maritime Air Quality Improvement Plan

**Status Report of the Work
Team**

CONCUR, Inc. (Dec. 14, 2007)

Port of Oakland Maritime Air Quality Improvement Plan

Thank you to the **Work Team**
for their hard work!

**These measures demanded a
lot of individual thought and
group deliberation.**

CONCUR, Inc. (Dec. 14, 2007)

Port of Oakland Maritime Air Quality Improvement Plan

Composition/Charge of Work Team

- **11 member work team**

- **Represented:** Industry, Beneficial Cargo Owners,
West Oakland Community, Agencies & Port.

- **Charged with initially screening 355 measures**

- **Input drawn from three sources:**
 - 1. Source Document Work Team's Product from 8/7.**
 - 2. Breakout group suggestions from 9/27 Task Force Meeting**
 - 3. CA Goods Movement Action Plan**

CONCUR, Inc. (Dec. 14, 2007)

12

Purpose of Screening Process

Goals

- **Identify those Initiatives of Primary and Secondary Interest to the Port, its industry partners, local community and regulatory agencies.**
- **Industry and other Port Stakeholders to use list as a guide in deciding which actions to take.**
- **On-going Stakeholder Group to help provide input on priorities/implementation.**
- **Port and other agencies to use incentives/provide support to encourage actions from the Primary List to promote additional air quality/ health benefits.**

Purpose of Screening Process

- **A Preliminary Statement has been developed that explains purpose of the two lists and how the Port envisions them being used.**
- **The Statement will proceed the lists in the MAQIP to provide context.**
- **Task Force to review.**

Port of Oakland Maritime Air Quality Improvement Plan

Work Team Member Reflections & Challenges

- **How did you consider each measure?**
- **What steps did you have to take for each round of screening?**
- **What challenges did you face?**

CONCUR, Inc. (Dec. 14, 2007)

15

Port of Oakland Maritime Air Quality Improvement Plan

Results Round-One Screening

- Criterion: “Does the candidate action directly reduce air emissions and related health risks?”
- **Decision Rules & Results:**
 - Actions receiving 8-11 “Yes” votes went to Round-Two screening.
 - Actions receiving 0-3 “Yes” votes went to “No” list.
 - Mid-range left 135 measures for Work Team deliberation.
 - “No” Measures have been categorized to make them more useful for future reference.



128 Measures move on to Round-Two

CONCUR, Inc. (Dec. 14, 2007)

16

Results Round-Two Screening

- **In Round-Two, the Work Team reviewed 128 Measures based on 7 Criteria:**
 1. **Regulatory Duplication**
 2. **Air Quality & Health Benefit**
 3. **Location**
 4. **Measurement & Tracking**
 5. **Technological Practicability**
 6. **Side Effects**
 7. **Operational Practicability**

Results Round-Two Screening

- **After Round-Two:**
 - 8-11 “Yes” votes went to Primary List
 - 0-3 “Yes” votes went to Secondary List
 - Mid-Range left 66 measures for Work Team Deliberation.
- **Work Team carefully reviewed each measure for placement on the Primary or Secondary List.**
- **Several measures duplicated current regulatory requirements.**
 - **Primary List: 49 Measures.**
 - **Secondary List: 35 Measures**

Results Round-Two Screening

Primary Interest List of Proposed Initiatives

- **Represents initiatives of primary interest for reducing emissions risks and which meet the goals of all interest groups represented on the MAQIP.**
- **List is intended as guidance and recommendation for actions taken by the Port, its business partners, and other stakeholders.**
- **Preference will be given to Initiatives on this list for incentives.**
- **Further study/analysis will be needed before initiatives are implemented.**

Results Round-Two Screening

Secondary Interest List of Proposed Initiatives

- **Represents actions also worthy of pursuit**
- **These measures may be amended to meet all 7 criteria if implementation is desired**
- **They will not receive the same type of support/ incentives but will likely receive some support.**
- **As with the Primary List, further study/analysis will be needed before initiatives are implemented.**

Results Round-Two Screening

Regulatory Duplicates

- 35 Proposed Initiatives were identified that duplicate existing regulations / MOUs.
- Work Team elected to separate out / highlight these measures.
- Several measures duplicate pending regulations not yet adopted and may be disqualified under that criteria in the future.

⇒ **Rationale: To identify / encourage opportunities for early implementation of regulatory requirements.**

Additional Task Force Discussion

- **Results represent Work Team's best assessment, to the extent of their knowledge; implementing industry (in particular) will have to do further analysis.**
- **If Task Force members have questions or want to propose revisions to placement of one of the initiatives, they can provide input today or in written comment following the meeting.**
- **All original 355 measures will be included in the MAQIP as an appendix so they are always available for reference.**

Language

- **The Work Team discussed how to make the language of each candidate action most useful for future implementation.**
- **To preserve original intent of the measure, Work Team decided to retain wording intact, except where a few key clarifications were made.**

Next Steps

- **Take comments / questions from Task Force on the Primary / Secondary List of Proposed Initiatives and Preliminary Statement**
- **Revise as needed**
- **Adopt Primary and Secondary List**

Port of Oakland Maritime Air Quality Improvement Plan

Public Comments

- **Comments encouraged on today's substantive agenda topics**
- **Comments also invited on other aspects of Port air quality planning**
- **Please provide brief comments to allow expression of multiple viewpoints**

CONCUR, Inc. (Dec. 14, 2007)

25

Port of Oakland Maritime Air Quality Improvement Plan

Interagency Meeting November 30, 2007

Agencies Represented:

- Alameda County- Public Health and Environmental Health
- Bay Area Air Quality Management District
- City of Oakland- Mayor's Office
- Port of Oakland
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

CONCUR, Inc. (Dec. 14, 2007)

26

Port of Oakland Maritime Air Quality Improvement Plan

Discussion Highlights

- **Important opportunity for agencies to work cooperatively**
- **Important opportunity to jointly make significant progress**
- **Need to present agency information in accessible format**
- **Interest in reviewing list of primary and secondary measures**
- **Interest in continuing to meet**

CONCUR, Inc. (Dec. 14, 2007)

27

Port of Oakland Maritime Air Quality Improvement Plan

Next Steps

- **Develop matrix of agency authorities**
- **Next meeting of agencies: Before January MAQIP Task Force meeting**

CONCUR, Inc. (Dec. 14, 2007)

28

Port of Oakland Maritime Air Quality Improvement Plan

**Next Steps:
December- February**

CONCUR, Inc. (Dec. 14, 2007) 29